

①

④
SINGLE JUDGE

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 10 /20067

PETITIONER

Sarad Kumar Patanwar,

Son of Shri Balistar Lal Patanwar,
Aged about 45 years, Assistant
Teacher, Government Primary
School, Sothi, Block Masturi,
District Bilaspur (C.G.)


P.R. No. 7733/06
Presented by Shri Ashwini Singh
dated 21/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Education,
Mantralaya, D.K.S Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Director of Public
Instruction, Raipur (C.G.).
3. The District Education Officer,
District Bilaspur (C.G.).
4. The Block Education Officer,
Block Masturi, District Bilaspur
(C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
21/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN
NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY OR ANY OTHER
WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

The Petitioner most humbly begs to submit as under :-

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS

As mentioned above in the cause title.

As per

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.10 OF 2007

Petitioner : Sarad Kumar Patanwar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ashwani Shukla, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 15th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh and others* (Writ Petition (S.) No.5976 of 2006), dated 7-11-2006.

2. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh and others* (Supra). No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge